arXiv:cond-mat/9509049v1 10 Sep 1995

Isotope Effect on the Formation Energy of Soliton in t-Polyacetylene

Ryōen Shirasaki

Department of Physics, Faculty of Education
Yokohama National University, Yokohama 240

(Received February 1, 2008)

Abstract

The formation energy of a soliton was derived in the TLM model to be $2\Delta_0/\pi$ which is independent of the masses of the polymer atoms. We estimate effects of the electron-phonon coupling on the soliton formation energy, particularly, through modifications of the harmonic oscillations of (CH) groups. A model based on the amplitude mode formalism is used and the electron-phonon coupling is taken into account to make the effective potential of lattice vibration. Among dynamical degrees of freedom in the lattice vibration, a translational mode of the soliton is separately examined, since it corresponds to a zero-energy mode. We get a difference in the formation energy between the two isotopes of about 0.03eV. Soliton trapping around defects or impurities changes the formation energy and enlarges the isotope difference.

§1 Introduction

The trans-polyacetylene is an ideal material which has excitations such as solitons, polaron, bipolaron, and so on. They can be described very well by the SSH model which was proposed by Su *et al.*[1)] This model contains the electron phonon interaction in addition to electron hopping and lattice vibrations. Takayama *et al.*[2)] made the TLM model which is a continuum version of the SSH model. It makes the analytical study of polyacetylene possible.

A number of experimental studies have supported the presence of a kink excitation in t-polyacetylene (t-$(CH)_x$).[3)–6)] Suzuki *et al.* have reported an optical absorption measurement in lightly doped polyacetylene.[5)] They have found that the absorption related to the electronic mid-gap state is enhanced by doping. This result has been interpreted as transitions between the mid-gap levels of solitons, induced by the doping, and the conduction band.

In addition to the absorption due to the mid-gap scattering transition, sevral absorptions have been observed near 1370cm^{-1} and 900cm^{-1} in the experiments on the infra-red absorption for a lightly doped (CH)$_x$.$^{7)}$ Mele and Rice have suggested that these peaks are due to lattice vibration.$^{8)}$ Horovitz has explained these peaks as the lattice vibration around a soliton added to a dimerized state, proposing a model with several phonon bands. This model is called "the amplitude mode formalism".$^{9)}$

On the other hand, the experimental studies of the photo-induced absorption spectra of undoped t-(CH)$_x$ have also shown additional infra-red active modes.$^{10)11)}$ The positions are listed in Table I. These infra-red active peaks have been considered to be due to internal vibrational modes created by the presence of the soliton. The intensities of these new modes are much weaker than those of the first three modes.

Ito *et al.* have studied the phonon structures in the presence of a soliton in the framework of the continuum theory for trans-polyacetylene (TLM model). They have analyzed small lattice oscillations by using time-dependent adiabatic mean-field equations resulting from a selfconsistent treatment of the electronic field and the phonon field.$^{12)}$ They have found three localized modes: the Goldstone mode (or the translational mode), the amplitude oscillation mode (the shape mode) and a third mode. Ito *et al.* have also found that two of the three localized modes, i.e. the Goldstone mode (G.M.) and the third localized mode are IR active, whereas the amplitude oscillation mode is inactive.$^{13)}$

Mele and Hicks have applied Horovitz's amplitude mode formalism to investigate the optical properties of the localized phonon modes.$^{14)}$ They have shown that there are three absorption peaks due to the translational mode in the presence of a single soliton. Terai, Ono, and Wada have applied the Mele-Hicks theory to calculate the phonon structures, taking account of the translation and the third localized modes, where they have used three phonon bands.$^{15)}$ (For each lattice site, there are several degrees of freedom such as bond vibration between C and H and that between nearest neighboring C atoms. Within the polymer plane, there are 6 degrees of freedom.$^{16)}$ The number of IR-active modes e.g. gerade modes is, explicitly, 4.) Heeger *et al.* performed an optical-absorption experiment with high resolution, observing two addtional IR peaks.$^{17)}$ Terai *et al.* have estimated relative optical absorption intensities and obtained a good agreement with the experiment.$^{18)}$

In this paper, we would like to study an isotope effect on soliton formation energy in t-polyacetylene. attice oscillations.$^{19)}$

of the unharmonicity of the effective potential gives a correction to the phonon frequency and the electron-phonon coupling constant. We will show that the correction can give the difference in the formation energy as large as the observed value.

§2 The effective potential of the one-dimensional electron-phonon system

In quasi-one-dimensional electron phonon system bearing the Peierls transition, there are two types of phonon modes. One is the amplitude mode and the other is the phase mode (the CDW sliding mode). In the incommensurate charge density wave, the ion displacement at the mth site is

$$u_m = u_0 \cos(q_0 \; ma + \delta)$$

where u_0 is the displacement amplitude, q_0 is the wave number which is equal to $2k_F$. The phase variable δ describes the motion of the charge density wave. In the half-filling case such as trans-polyacetylene, $q_0 = \pi/a$, so that $u_m = (-)^m u_0 \cos\delta$. Thus the dynamics of δ in t-polyacetylene is written by using only the amplitude of the charge density wave.

Let us consider a one-dimensional electron system including a electron-phonon coupling with a optical phonon field $\Delta = gu_0$ where g is the electron-phonon coupling constant. the Hamiltonian is given by

$$\begin{aligned} H &= \frac{1}{2\pi v_F \lambda \omega_Q^2} \int \mathrm{d}x[\dot{\Delta}^2(x) + \omega_Q^2 \Delta^2(x)] \\ &\quad + \sum_s \int \mathrm{d}x \psi_s^\dagger(x)[-\mathrm{i}v_F\sigma_3\partial_x + \sigma_1\Delta(x)]\psi_s(x), \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

where ω_Q is the bare phonon frequency. The electron field ψ_s is introduced as a two component spinol field representing left and right-going waves at the Fermi level. λ is a dimensionless electron-phonon coupling constant. the Hamiltonian (2.1) is called the Takayama-Lin-Liu-Maki (TLM) model. [2)]

The coupling between electron and phonon fields induces unharmonicity of the lattice vibration and, due to this non-linearity, the Hamiltonian (2.1) bears a bond order wave state (BOW state).

To investigate the unharmonicity, we make a effective potential for the phonon field using loop expansion of a partition function.

The partition function of (2.1) is written as follows:

$$Z = Z_0 \left\langle T_\tau exp\left(-\int_0^\beta H_I \mathrm{d}\tau \right) \right\rangle . \tag{2.2}$$

where $\langle T_\tau \rangle$ being a thermal average with respect to the unperturbed Hamiltonian. H_I is the perturbation term given by the electron-phonon coupling $\psi_s^\dagger \sigma_1 \Delta \psi_s$. Z_0 is the partition function of the unperturbed Hamiltonian in which the electron-phonon coupling is excluded. Z_0 is written in the path integration form,

$$\begin{aligned} Z_0 &= \int (D\Delta D\psi_s^\dagger D\psi_s) \exp\left\{ -\int \mathrm{d}\tau (L_\Delta^0 + L_\psi^0) \right\} \\ L_\Delta^0 &= \frac{1}{2\pi v_F \lambda {\omega_Q}^2} \int \mathrm{d}x \left[\left(\frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial \tau} \right)^2 + {\omega_Q}^2 \Delta^2(x) \right] \\ L_\psi^0 &= \int \mathrm{d}x \psi_s^\dagger(x) \frac{\partial \psi_s(x)}{\partial \tau} + \sum_s \int \mathrm{d}x \psi_s^\dagger(x)[-\mathrm{i}v_F\sigma_3\partial_x]\psi_s(x), \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

The thermal average in (2.2) is also written by the path integration form. The path integration over ψ_s is approximated by a diagramatic calculation. Consider the summation over connected loop diagrams which are shown in Fig.1.[20)21)] The solid line is associated with the electron Green function. The solid circle corresponds to the vertex with σ_1 coupling.

In the momentum space, the electron Green function is given by

$$\begin{aligned} G^{(0)}(\omega,k)\delta_{s,s'} &= -\int\int \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}\tau \left\langle T_\tau \psi_s(x,\tau)\psi^\dagger_{s'}(x',0)\right\rangle e^{-\mathrm{i}kx-\mathrm{i}\omega\tau} \\ &= \frac{\mathrm{i}\omega\mathrm{I}+v_F k\sigma_3}{(\mathrm{i}\omega)^2-(v_F k)^2}\delta_{s,s'}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

where s denotes up or down of the spin orientation. Representing the sum of the diagrams in Fig.1 as U, the partition function is approximately given by

$$\frac{Z}{Z_0} = \frac{\int(D\Delta)\exp\left\{-\int \mathrm{d}\tau L^0_\Delta + \int \mathrm{d}\tau dx U\right\}}{\int(D\Delta)\exp\left\{-\int \mathrm{d}\tau L^0_\Delta\right\}}. \tag{2.5}$$

Let us estimate U. The lowest order term with respect to the momentum transfer q between the electron, ψ_s, and the lattice, $\Delta(x)$, is given by the summation written as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} &-\frac{1}{\beta}Tr\sum_{n,s,\omega}\int \mathrm{d}k\frac{1}{4\pi n}[\Delta(0)\sigma_1 G^{(0)}(\mathrm{i}\omega,k)]^n \\ = &\ \frac{1}{\pi\beta}\sum_{\omega}\int \mathrm{d}k\ln\left(1-\frac{\Delta(0)^2}{(\mathrm{i}\omega)^2-(v_F k)^2}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

where the summation over ω is taken over the Matsubara frequency $\omega = T(2m+1)\pi$, m being an integer. $\Delta(0)$ is the Fourier transform of $\Delta(x)$ at $q=0$. Taking zero temperature limit and using the relation

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\beta}\sum_{\omega,\mathrm{odd}}\ln\left(\frac{\mathrm{i}\omega-|a|}{\mathrm{i}\omega-|b|}\right) &= \frac{1}{4\pi\mathrm{i}}\int \mathrm{d}\varepsilon\tanh\frac{\beta\varepsilon}{2}\ln\frac{\varepsilon-|a|}{\varepsilon-|b|} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(|a|-|b|), \quad (T\to 0). \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

we can rewrite (2.6) as

$$-\frac{1}{\pi v_F}\Delta^2(0)\left(\ln\frac{\Delta(0)}{2\Lambda}-\frac{1}{2}\right), \tag{2.8}$$

where Λ is the electron band cut off given by $\Lambda = v_F/a$.

The higher order terms with respect to q is given by diagrams shown in Fig.2. The summation over these diagrams gives

$$\begin{aligned} &-\frac{1}{\beta}Tr\sum_{\omega,s}\int\frac{\mathrm{d}k}{4\pi}\left[\left(\Delta\sigma_1\frac{\mathrm{i}\omega+v_F(k+q)\sigma_3+\Delta\sigma_1}{(\mathrm{i}\omega)^2-v_F^2(k+q)^2-\Delta^2}\right)\left(\Delta\sigma_1\frac{\mathrm{i}\omega+v_F k\sigma_3+\Delta\sigma_1}{(\mathrm{i}\omega)^2-(v_F k)^2-\Delta^2}\right)\right. \\ &\left.-\left(\Delta\sigma_1\frac{\mathrm{i}\omega+v_F k\sigma_3+\Delta\sigma_1}{(\mathrm{i}\omega)^2-(v_F k)^2-\Delta^2}\right)^2\right] \\ &= -\frac{1}{\pi v_F}\Delta\left[\frac{\sqrt{4\Delta^2(0)+(v_F q)^2}}{v_F q}\ln\left(\frac{v_F q+\sqrt{4\Delta^2(0)+(v_F q)^2}}{2\Delta(0)}\right)-1\right]\Delta. \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

where we subtracted from the first term in the right hand side of (2.9) the q independent term (the second term). In the real space, this term would be expressed as

$$-\frac{1}{\pi v_F}\Delta(x)\left(\frac{\sqrt{1+\gamma^2}}{\gamma}\ln(\gamma+\sqrt{1+\gamma^2})-1\right)\Delta(x) \tag{2.10}$$

where

$$\gamma=-\frac{v_F}{2\Delta(0)}\mathrm{i}\frac{\partial}{\partial x}. \tag{2.11}$$

$\Delta(0)$ is considered to be absolute of the mean value of $\Delta(x)$. Since U is expressed by the sum of (2.8) and (2.9), we can study the lattice dynamics of the electron-phonon system (2.1) by using the total effective potential which is given as follows :

$$\frac{1}{2\pi v_F}\int \mathrm{d}x\left[\frac{\Delta(x)^2}{\lambda}+2\Delta(x)\left(\frac{\sqrt{1+\gamma^2}}{\gamma}\ln(\gamma+\sqrt{1+\gamma^2})-1\right)\Delta(x)+2\Delta(x)^2\ln\frac{|\Delta(x)|}{2\Lambda}-\Delta(x)^2\right]. \tag{2.12}$$

In the perfectly dimerized state, and in the adiabatic limit, the spatial variance of $\Delta(x)$ is equal to zero. The effective potential have double minimum points at $\Delta=\pm\Delta_0$ where Δ_0 is determined by

$$\Delta_0=2\Lambda\exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\lambda}\right\}. \tag{2.13}$$

The potential is written by the dashed line in Fig.3. Thus, we consider that $|\Delta(0)|$, which was introduced in (2.10) as the mean value of $\Delta(x)$, is given by Δ_0. Therefore, the effective Hamiltonian is given by

$$\begin{aligned} H &= \frac{1}{2\pi v_F\lambda\omega_Q^2}\int \mathrm{d}x\left[\dot{\Delta}(x)^2+\omega_Q^2\Delta(x)^2\right] \\ &\quad+\frac{1}{2\pi v_F}\int \mathrm{d}x\left[2\Delta(x)D_\gamma\Delta(x)+2\Delta(x)^2\left(\ln\frac{|\Delta(x)|}{2\Lambda}-\frac{1}{2}\right)\right], \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

where $\dot{\Delta}(x)$ is the time-derivative of $\Delta(x)$. D_γ and γ are introduced as follows :

$$D_\gamma=\frac{\sqrt{1+\gamma^2}}{\gamma}\ln(\gamma+\sqrt{1+\gamma^2})-1, \tag{2.15}$$

$$\gamma=-\frac{\xi_0}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \tag{2.16}$$

where $\xi_0=v_F/\Delta_0$. As is well-known, the electron-phonon system (2.1) bears the non-linear excitation. A soliton is the solution of (2.1) which seperates $\Delta(x)=\Delta_0$ phase and $\Delta(x)=-\Delta_0$ phase. The effective Hamiltonian (2.14) also has a soliton solution. It's spatial form is shown in Fig.4. We obtained this form by using the variational method. (Appendix A.) The form of $\Delta(x)$ for the soliton is nearly equal to the well-known form.

$$\Delta_s(x)=\Delta_0\tanh x/\xi, \tag{2.17}$$

which is the solution of the Hamiltonian (2.1).[2)] Our result gives a value of the soliton width of about $\xi = 1.04\xi_0$ with $\xi_0 = v_F/\Delta_0$.

The soliton excitation energy which is centered around the soliton is mainly kept in the electron system. In a soliton case, the energy level of the electronic states in the valence band are shifted by the lattice distortion and the mid-gap localized state is induced.[2)] In our model, the property of the electron system is approximated by the effective potential which is constructed on the assumption of the continuum electronic dispersion. Since the localized electronic state is not included, our model, (2.14), does not include the exact change of the electronic energy level. Using (2.17), and using the fact that $\Delta_s(x)$ is the solution of (A.1), the soliton formation energy is derived to be Δ_0/π (Appendix A). Our result gives the different value with the result given by the TLM mode.l[2)] On the other hand, the Hamiltonian (2.14) gives good coincidence with the TLM model, (2.1), in analyzing the lattice dynamics of polymer chain. As we will show later, the dispersion relation of phonon and the localized ocillation mode around the soliton obtained in the TLM model are well reproduced in our model. Then, the Hamiltonian (2.14) would be suitable for analyzing the renormalization of the unharmonic lattice oscillation and for making the correction of the parameters λ, ω_Q, etc.

The eigen mode g_ℓ of the lattice fluctuation η around a static soliton is given by the Schrödinger equation

$$-\frac{1}{2\lambda\omega_Q^2}\ddot{g}_\ell = \left[\frac{\sqrt{1+\gamma^2}}{\gamma}\ln(\gamma+\sqrt{1+\gamma^2}) - 1\right] g_\ell + \left(1+\ln\frac{|\Delta_s(x)|}{\Delta_0}\right) g_\ell, \tag{2.18}$$

where $\Delta_s(x)$ is the static soliton solution of (2.14), *i.e.* (2.17). In the perfectly dimerized state, the log term of the potential in (2.18) vanishes and the continuum spectral of phonon frequency Ω_q is given by

$$\frac{\Omega_q^2}{2\lambda\omega_Q^2} = \frac{\sqrt{1+s^2}}{s}\sinh^{-1} s, \tag{2.19}$$

where $s = v_F q/(2\Delta_0)$, q being the wave number of the phonon. Then, the extended modes of lattice fluctuation around the soliton have the spectrum like (2.19). This dispersion relation was also derived by Nakahara and Maki using the random phase approximation (RPA) method for the electron polarization.[22)]

In the Hamiltonian (2.1), there are localized modes which have non-zero amplitude around the soliton center. They are the Goldstone mode, amplitude oscillation mode and the third localized mode of soliton. These localized modes have been obtained by several groups using the RPA method.[12),22)−24)] We show in Appendix B that (2.18) has the zero-energy mode (the Goldstone mode) and that its form is given by $\frac{\mathrm{d}\Delta_s(x)}{\mathrm{d}x}$. Substituting the form of the soliton which is shown in Fig.4 into (2.18), the frequencies of the other localized modes are numerically obtained. (Appendix B) Their frequencies are shown in Table II. Our result coincides with other works.[12)23)24)]

In this paper, we discuss the quantum correction to the soliton formation energy using (2.14) and soliton solution (2.17).

§3 Anharmonicity of the lattice vibration

Because the potential of the lattice field Δ has the logarithmic term, the lattice fluctuation η around $\Delta = \pm\Delta_0$ has an anharmonic potential. In (2.1), the parameters ω_Q, λ and v_F were determined so as to obtain good agreements with experimental values of the electron band gap and the phonon dispersion considering the lattice fluctuation as the harmonic lattice oscillation. In the dispersion relation (2.19) and the selfconsistency equation for the electron band gap, (2.13), these parameters were used. However, since anharmonicity of the lattice oscillation modifies the mean position of (CH) groups and the energy spectral of phonon dispersion, we should make corrections for the parameters λ, ω_Q and v_F. In the perfectly dimerized case, expanding $\Delta(x)$ around $\Delta = \Delta_0$, the Hamiltonian becomes

$$\begin{aligned} H &\simeq \frac{1}{2\pi v_F\lambda}\int \mathrm{d}x\left(\frac{\dot{\eta}^2}{\omega_Q^2} + 2\lambda\eta D_\gamma\eta + 2\lambda\eta^2 + \frac{2\lambda}{3\Delta_0}\eta^3 - \frac{\lambda}{6\Delta_0^2}\eta^4\right) \\ &\quad -\frac{1}{2\pi v_F}\int \mathrm{d}x\Delta_0^2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

where $\Delta(x) = \Delta_0 + \eta$. Suppose that the higher order terms in η/Δ_0 are sufficiently small in the quasi-one dimensional system, we neglected terms over 5th order with respect to η/Δ_0. We compare the potential of (2.14) and (3.20) graphically in Fig.3. The potential of (3.20) is drawn by the solid line. The coincidence is fairly well when $|\eta/\Delta_0| < 0.5$. For the realistic material, t-polyacetylene, the parameters are $\lambda \sim 0.2$, $\omega_Q \sim 0.3eV$, and $\Delta_0 \sim 0.67\mathrm{eV}$, then

$$\frac{\langle\eta^2\rangle}{\Delta_0^2} = \langle \mathrm{T}_\tau\eta(x,\tau=0^+)\eta(x,0)\rangle = \frac{1}{4\Delta_0^2}\int \mathrm{d}(v_Fq)\frac{\lambda\omega_Q^2}{\omega_q} \simeq 0.4, \tag{3.21}$$

where ω_q is given by (2.19). Considering that over the 5th order contribution from η/Δ_0 is negligible, we investigate the effect of lattice fluctuation described by (3.20). The bare phonon Hamiltonian which is written by the first three terms in the right hand side of (3.20) gives the bare Green function of η,

$$\begin{aligned} D_\eta(\omega,k) &= -\int \langle T_\tau\eta(x,\tau)\eta(x',0)\rangle\, e^{-\mathrm{i}kx}e^{-\mathrm{i}\omega\tau} \\ &= \frac{\pi v_F\lambda\omega_Q^2}{(\mathrm{i}\omega)^2 - \Omega_k^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

The forth and the fifth terms in the right hand side of (3.20) give 3 point and 4 point vertices, respectively. They are associated with the diagrams in Fig.5.

§4 Correction to the soliton formation energy

The 3 point and the 4 point vertices give the correction to spectral of the harmonic oscillation. We will make one-loop approximation and estimate the correction to the soliton formation energy. In the one loop approximation, the 3 point vertex gives the effective contribution which is shown by diagrams in Fig.6a).

$$\int \mathrm{d}x\eta\cdot\frac{1}{2}\cdot\frac{2}{\pi v_F\Delta_0}\left\langle\eta^2\right\rangle = \int \mathrm{d}x\frac{\Delta_0}{\pi v_F}\delta m\ \eta. \tag{4.23}$$

In the same way, the 4 point vertex gives the effective contribution which is shown in Fig.6b).

$$-\int \mathrm{d}x \eta^2 \frac{1}{2\pi v_F}\delta m. \tag{4.24}$$

Here, we introduced the notation $\delta m = \langle \eta^2 \rangle / \Delta_0^2$. Totally, the contribution becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\delta m}{2\pi v_F}\int \mathrm{d}x(-2\eta\Delta_0 + \eta^2) \\ \simeq\ & -\frac{\delta m}{2\pi v_F}\int \mathrm{d}x\left(\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}-1\right)\Delta(x)^2 + 2\Delta(x)^2 \ln\frac{|\Delta(x)|}{2\Lambda}\right) \\ & -\frac{\delta m}{2\pi v_F}\int \mathrm{d}x\Delta_0^2. \end{aligned} \tag{4.25}$$

Since $\eta = \Delta(x) - \Delta_0$ is a small quantity, and since the functional form of the correction term should be similar to the effective potential in (2.18), we approximated the first line of (4.25) by the second line.

Because the parameters λ, ω_Q, and v_F in (2.1) is selected to give the good coincidence with the realistic material in the mean-field theory, the Hamiltonian should be reconstructed to cancel the contribution (4.25). As the corrected Hamiltonian, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} H'_R =\ & \frac{1}{2\pi v_F \lambda \omega_Q^2}\int \mathrm{d}x(\dot{\Delta}(x)^2 + \omega_Q^2\Delta^2(x)) \\ & \frac{1}{2\pi v_F}\int \mathrm{d}x\left[2\Delta(x)D_\gamma\Delta(x) + 2\Delta(x)^2\ln\frac{|\Delta(x)|}{2\Lambda} - \Delta^2(x)\right] \\ & +\frac{\delta m}{2\pi v_F}\int \mathrm{d}x\left[\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}-1\right)\Delta(x)^2 + 2\Delta(x)^2\ln\frac{|\Delta(x)|}{2\Lambda} - \Delta^2(x)\right] \\ & +\frac{\delta m}{2\pi v_F}\Delta_0^2 L. \end{aligned} \tag{4.26}$$

where $\gamma = -\mathrm{i}\frac{v_F}{2\Delta_0}\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$. The third and the forth line in (4.26) is called as the "counter term" which is added to cancel the contribution (4.25). In the derivation of H'_R, we assumed that the fluctuation η/Δ_0 is smaller than 1, and terms over the 4th order with respect to η/Δ_0 is negligible. In the quasi one-dimensional electron-phonon system, like polyacetylene, this condition would be satisfied. In the purely one-dimensional system, the higher order terms with respect to η/Δ_0 is not negligible. Then, we can not renormalize the anharmonicity into the form of (4.26). We do not consider the purely one-dimensional case.

Next, we renormalize the unharmonic contributions which come from the counter terms in (4.26). Repeating these procedures, we obtain the renormalized Hamiltonian which represents the actual situation of the quasi one-dimensional electron-phonon system at the half-filling. By the redefinition of the parameters λ, v_F and ω_Q, the renormalized Hamiltonian is written in the form of (2.14). Comparing (2.14) and (4.26), we obtain the renormalized Hamiltonian

$$H_R = \frac{1}{2\pi {v_F}_R \lambda_R {\omega_Q}_R^2}\int \mathrm{d}x\left[\dot{\Delta}_R(x)^2 + {\omega_Q}_R^2\Delta_R(x)^2\right]$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\frac{1}{2\pi v_{FR}}\int \mathrm{d}x\,[2d_R\Delta_R(x)D_\gamma\Delta_R(x) \\
&+2\Delta_R(x)^2\left(\ln\frac{|\Delta_R(x)|}{2\Lambda_R}-\frac{1}{2}\right)\Bigg]. \qquad (4.27)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega_{Q_R} &= (1-\delta m)^{-1/2}(1+\lambda\ln(1-\delta m))^{1/2}\omega_Q \\
\lambda_R &= (1+\lambda\ln(1-\delta m))^{-1}\lambda \\
\Delta_R &= (1-\delta m)^{-1/2}\Delta \\
d_R &= (1-\delta m) \\
v_{FR} &= v_F \\
\Lambda_R &= v_{FR}/a \qquad (4.28)
\end{aligned}
$$

where a is the lattice constant. We determined (4.28) on the condition that v_{FR} and Λ_R are invariant under the renormalization since they are parameters of the electron system. Because $\delta m > 0$, the corrected parameters ω_{Q_R} and λ_R are larger than the bare values. From $\frac{\Delta_R^2}{2\pi v_{FR}} = (1-\delta m)^{-1}\frac{\Delta^2}{2\pi v_F}$, we can see that, in the actual material, the effective potential is $(1-\delta m)^{-1}$ times deeper than the uncorrected one.

On the other hand, since the effective potential becomes deeper, the differential equation for the soliton is affected by the renormalization. We calculated the soliton width ξ_R for the various values of $1/d_R = (1-\delta m)^{-1}$ using the variational method. (Appendix A) We plot ξ_0/ξ_R in Fig.7 as the function of $1/d_R$. The soliton width becomes narrower as the effective potential becomes deeper. It behaves like

$$
\frac{\xi_R}{\xi_0} = 1.04{d_R}^{0.12} = 1.04(1-\delta m)^{0.12}, \qquad (4.29)
$$

in the range $0.2 < {d_R}^{-1} < 2$.

In the static limit, $\dot{\Delta} = 0$, using (A.1) , the soliton formation energy is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2\pi v_{FR}}\int \mathrm{d}x(\Delta_{0R}^2 - \Delta_R(x)^2) \\
&\simeq \frac{\xi_R}{\pi\xi_0}\frac{{\Delta_{0R}}^2}{\Delta_0} \qquad (4.30)
\end{aligned}
$$

where, from the first to the second line, we used the soliton form $\Delta(x) = \Delta_0\tanh(x/\xi_R)$. In addition to (4.30), zero-point lattice oscillation gives a contribution to the total energy, which is explained by the path integration.[21)] The presence of the soliton changes the phonon frequency and this contributes to the soliton formation energy. Then, the soliton formation energy is, totally, given by

$$
E_s = \sum_\ell \frac{1}{2}\Omega_\ell\Big|_{\text{soliton}} - \sum_\ell \frac{1}{2}\Omega_\ell\Big|_{\text{dimer}} + \frac{1}{(1-\delta m)^{0.88}}\frac{\Delta_0}{\pi}. \qquad (4.31)
$$

§5 The effect of impurity

In the realistic material, there are lattice defects or impurities. The potential due to the impurities traps the soliton and therefore the formation energy is affected by the trapping energy. Suppose spin or charge of the soliton interacts with impurity and the impurity potential is given by the delta function $-V\delta(x)$. If the soliton is rigid, the trapping energy will be

$$-V\int \mathrm{d}x\delta(x)n(x-X) \simeq -V\frac{n_0}{\cosh^2 X/\xi}, \tag{5.32}$$

where X is the position of soliton center, V the magnitude of the impurity potential, ξ is the soliton width, and $n(x)$ is the charge or spin density of the soliton. We approximated $n(x)$ as $n_0/\cosh^2(x/\xi)$ because the electron density in the mid-gap state of the soliton has this form.$^{2)}$ Since we are interested in the dynamics of the soliton center and the effect of soliton trapping. We use the effective Hamiltonian.

$$H_{tr} = \frac{P^2}{2M} - \frac{U}{\cosh^2 X/\xi}, \tag{5.33}$$

with $U = |Vn_0|$. Here M is the soliton mass which is given by

$$M = \frac{1}{2\pi v_F}\int \mathrm{d}x \left(\frac{1}{\Delta_0}\frac{\mathrm{d}\Delta(x)}{\mathrm{d}x}\right)^2, \tag{5.34}$$

and P is the momentum conjugate to the position of the soliton center X. (5.33) gives the trapping energy spectrum given as follows:

$$E_n = -\frac{1}{8M\xi^2}\left[\sqrt{1+8MU\xi^2} - (2n+1)\right]^2, \tag{5.35}$$

where n being the non-negative integer which satisfies $0 \leq n \leq (\sqrt{1+8MU\xi^2}-1)/2$. The experimental value of the soliton formation energy would correspnd to the sum of "pure" formation energy of the soliton E_s and the trapping energy E_0. The formation energy of the soliton is lowered by

$$E_0 = -\frac{1}{8M\xi^2}\left[\sqrt{1+8MU\xi^2} - 1\right]^2. \tag{5.36}$$

In the weak trapping limit, $MU\xi^2 << 1$, E_0 becomes $-2MU^2\xi^2$. When $MU\xi^2 >> 1$, E_0 becomes $-U + \sqrt{U/(2M\xi^2)}$.

§6 Isotope effect

The realistic t-polyacetylene has several normal modes of lattice oscillations. Horovitz has proposed the model which include these normal modes introducing plural components of phonon fields.

The multi-mode Hamiltonian is given by [25)]

$$\begin{aligned} H &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^{3} \frac{1}{2\pi v_F \lambda \omega_0^2} \int \mathrm{d}x [\dot{\Delta}_\alpha^2(x) + \omega_\alpha^2 \Delta_\alpha^2(x)] \\ &\quad + \sum_s \int \mathrm{d}x \psi_s^\dagger(x) [-\mathrm{i} v_F \sigma_3 \partial_x + \sigma_1 \Delta(x)] \psi_s(x), \end{aligned} \tag{6.37}$$

where 3 types of phonon fields Δ_α are taken into account, ω_0 being the renormalized phonon frequency

$$\omega_0^2 = 2\lambda\omega_Q^2.$$

$\Delta(x)$ is defined by

$$\Delta(x) = \sum_\alpha (\lambda_\alpha/\lambda)^{1/2} (\omega_\alpha/\omega_0) \Delta_\alpha(x). \tag{6.38}$$

where λ_α is the coupling constant of the αth phonon with the bare frequency ω_α. There is a relation

$$\lambda = \sum_\alpha \lambda_\alpha. \tag{6.39}$$

Using (6.37), the effective potential is obtained as in the same way as shown in the section 2. Introducing a phonon field of the αth normal mode by η_α, Δ is expanded around $\Delta(x)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta &= \Delta(x) + \eta, \\ \eta &= \sum_\alpha \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_\alpha}{\lambda}} \frac{\omega_\alpha}{\omega_0} \eta_\alpha. \end{aligned} \tag{6.40}$$

Substituting (6.40) into the effective Hamiltonian (2.14), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} H &= -\frac{1}{2\pi v_F} \int \Delta^2(x) \mathrm{d}x + \frac{1}{2\pi v_F \lambda \omega_0^2} \sum_\alpha \int \mathrm{d}x [\dot{\eta}_\alpha^2 + \omega_\alpha^2 \eta_\alpha^2] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\pi v_F} \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \int \mathrm{d}x \left[\frac{\sqrt{\lambda_\alpha \lambda_\beta}}{\lambda} \frac{\omega_\alpha \omega_\beta}{\omega_0^2} \eta_\alpha D_\gamma \eta_\beta \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left(1 + \ln \frac{|\Delta(x)|}{\Delta_0} - \frac{1}{2\lambda}\right) \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_\alpha \lambda_\beta}}{\lambda} \frac{\omega_\alpha \omega_\beta}{\omega_0^2} \eta_\alpha \eta_\beta \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{6.41}$$

where D_γ is given by (2.15). The eigen mode of the Hamiltonian is constructed as follows. From (6.41), the Schrödinger equation of an eigen mode φ_α is given by

$$\begin{aligned} -\ddot{\varphi}_\alpha &= \omega_\alpha^2 \varphi_\alpha + 2 \sum_\beta \sqrt{\lambda_\alpha \lambda_\beta} \omega_\alpha \omega_\beta D_\gamma \varphi_\beta \\ &\quad + 2 \sum_\beta \sqrt{\lambda_\alpha \lambda_\beta} \omega_\alpha \omega_\beta \left(1 + \ln \frac{|\Delta(x)|}{\Delta_0} - \frac{1}{2\lambda}\right) \varphi_\beta. \end{aligned} \tag{6.42}$$

Since we are considering the one-dimensional case, the eigen-mode function of (6.42) can be expressed by the super position of g_ℓ

$$\varphi_\alpha = \sum_\ell C_{\alpha,\ell} g_\ell, \tag{6.43}$$

where g_ℓ is the eigen function of (2.18). We write the frequency of g_ℓ by Ω_ℓ. Substituting (6.43) into (6.42), and using eq.(2.18), $C_{\alpha,\beta\ell}$ is given by the equation,

$$C_{\alpha,\ell}\sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{\lambda_\alpha}}\omega_\alpha\left(1-\frac{\Omega^2}{\omega_\alpha^2}\right) = \sum_\gamma\left(1-\frac{\Omega_\ell^2}{\omega_Q^2}\right)\sqrt{\frac{\lambda_\gamma}{\lambda}}\omega_\gamma C_{\gamma,\ell}. \tag{6.44}$$

This equation was obtained in a different way using the RPA method by Terai *et al.*[15] From (6.44), the expansion coefficient $C_{\alpha,\ell}$ and the frequency Ω is obtained. Ω is given by the solution of the equation

$$\begin{aligned}\left(1-\frac{\omega_\ell^2}{\omega_Q^2}\right)^{-1} &= -D(\Omega),\\ D(\Omega) &= \sum_\alpha \frac{\lambda_\alpha}{\lambda}\frac{\omega_\alpha^2}{\Omega^2-\omega_\alpha^2}.\end{aligned} \tag{6.45}$$

There are three solutions for each ℓ. Let us call them as $\Omega_{\beta,\ell}$ ($\beta = 1, 2, 3$). Using (6.44) and (6.45), $C_{\alpha,\ell}$ is given by

$$C_{\alpha,\ell} = \frac{\omega_\alpha}{\omega_\alpha^2-\Omega_{\beta,\ell}^2}\left(\frac{\lambda_\alpha}{\lambda}\right)^{1/2}\left(\sum_\gamma\frac{\lambda_\gamma}{\lambda}\frac{\omega_\gamma^2}{(\omega_\gamma^2-\Omega_{\beta,\ell}^2)^2}\right)^{-1/2} \equiv C_{\alpha,\ell}(\Omega_{\beta,\ell}). \tag{6.46}$$

Using (6.43), (6.45) and(6.46), the phonon frequency and the eigen-mode function are obtained.

Substituting the values in Table II into (6.45), the localized modes around a soliton are obtained. They are summarized in Table I for isotopes of $(\mathrm{CH})_x$ and $(\mathrm{CD})_x$. The experimental results are also shown. In the calculation of the phonon frequency, we used the parameters λ_α and ω_α shown in Table III. They were determined by Vardeny *et al.* from the Raman scattering experiment. The phonon frequencies in $(\mathrm{CH})_x$ are relatively larger than those in $(\mathrm{CD})_x$.

Let us compare the formation energy of the soliton between the two isotopes of t-polyacetylene. As we have discussed in §3, the renormalized Hamiltonian is constructed by adding the counter term, and the soliton formation energy should be corrected by the fluctuation $\delta m = \langle\eta^2\rangle/\Delta_0^2$. Using the dispersion relation $\Omega_{\alpha,k}$ which is obtained from (6.45) for the dimerized state, and using the phonon Green function which is derived from (6.41), δm is estimated as follows :

$$\begin{aligned}\delta m &= \sum_\alpha\frac{\lambda_\alpha\omega_\alpha}{\lambda\omega_0\Delta_0^2}\left\langle \mathrm{T}\tau\ \eta_\alpha(x,\tau=0^+)\eta_\alpha(x,0)\right\rangle = \frac{\omega_0}{4\Delta_0^2}\sum_\alpha\int \mathrm{d}(v_F q)\frac{\lambda_\alpha\omega_\alpha}{\Omega_{\alpha,q}}\\ &= \begin{cases} 0.4536 & \text{for } (\mathrm{CH})_x \\ 0.4002 & \text{for } (\mathrm{CD})_x\end{cases}\end{aligned} \tag{6.47}$$

In the calculation in (6.47), we used $\Omega_{\alpha,q}$ which is given by (2.19). In Appendix B, we have solved (2.18) for the soliton approximately. Since we have made an expansion of D_γ up to 6th order with respect to γ, we cannot exactly reproduce the dispersion relation (2.19). Then, we will use the phonon frequencies given by the RPA method which is explained in ref.[12]. Substituting the phonon frequencies and (6.47) into (4.31), the correction to the soliton formation energy is totally given by

$$\Delta E_s = \begin{cases} 0.0323\text{eV}, & \text{for (CH)}_x \\ 0.0033\text{eV}, & \text{for (CD)}_x. \end{cases} \tag{6.48}$$

On the assumption that the difference in the electron band gap Δ_0 between the two isotopes is very small, the corrected parameters are obtained by (4.28) where the parameters $\lambda = 0.2$ and $\Delta_0 = 0.656$eV are used for both isotopes. The corrected coupling constant λ_R for$(\text{CH})_x$ becomes larger than that for $(\text{CD})_x$. Then, the soliton formation energy in $(\text{CH})_x$ becomes about 0.029eV larger than that in $(\text{CD})_x$.

The isotope difference of the soliton formation energy is observed by the photo-induced absorption experiment by Schaffer *et al.*[17)19)] They concluded that, from the observed value, the difference is about 0.03eV $\sim$ 0.04eV. This is of the same order with our theoretical estimation. However, the experimental result is a bit larger than ours by about 0.01eV. This difference would come from the trapping by impurities. In the optical absorption experiment, the frequency of T_1 mode, which corresponds to the excitation of soliton translational motion in $(\text{CH})_x$ is larger than in $(\text{CD})_x$. This difference is considered to come from the soliton mass M_s. In the multi-component theory (the amplitude mode formalism), the soliton mass is given by [26)]

$$M_s = \frac{1}{\pi v_F \lambda}\sum_\alpha \frac{\lambda_\alpha}{\lambda {\omega_\alpha}^2}\int \mathrm{d}x \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}\Delta_s(x)}{\mathrm{d}x}\right)^2 = \frac{4{u_0}^2}{a}\sum_\alpha \left(\frac{K}{K_\alpha}\right)^2 M_\alpha \int \mathrm{d}x \left(\frac{1}{\Delta_0}\frac{\mathrm{d}\Delta_s(x)}{\mathrm{d}x}\right)^2 \tag{6.49}$$

where M_α is the effective mass of the αth lattice oscillation mode and K_α is the effective spring constant of the αth mode. They are introduced by the following relation.

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_0 &= g u_0, \\ \lambda &= \frac{g^2 a}{4\pi v_F K_\alpha}, \\ \omega_\alpha &= \sqrt{\frac{K_\alpha}{M_\alpha}}, \end{aligned} \tag{6.50}$$

Since the σ bonds between atoms get small influences from the hydrogen mass, the isotope difference of K_α would be small. On the other hand, the effective mass of lattice oscillation in $(\text{CH})_x$ is smaller than that in $(\text{CD})_x$. Therefore, from (6.49), the soliton mass in $(\text{CH})_x$ is smaller than in $(\text{CD})_x$. Thus, in sufficiently deep impurity potential, from (5.36), the trapping energy in $(\text{CD})_x$ is lower than $(\text{CH})_x$, and the excitation energy from the lowest bound state to higher excited state in $(\text{CH})_x$ is larger than that in $(\text{CD})_x$. In §5 we saw that the soliton formation energy is affected by the soliton trapping energy. Suppose that the impurity potential is sufficiently deep and that the potential is well-approximated by the harmonic potential at the bottom. Considering the soliton trapping energy as the energy of the zero-point oscillation around the impurity potential, the isotope difference of the trapping energy is about $(0.062 - 0.0507)/2 = 0.006$eV, where the experimental data of T_1 mode which is shown in Table I is used. Therefore, the soliton formation energy in $(\mathrm{CH})_x$ is larger than that in $(\mathrm{CD})_x$ by $0.0029 + 0.006 = 0.035$eV. This theoretical estimate agrees well with the observed value.

§7 Summary

We investigated the effect of lattice fluctuation on a soliton and compared our result with experimental result observed by the photo-induced optical absorption experiment. First, we renormalized the electron-phonon interaction into the effective potential of lattice distortion field. Then, the effective Hamiltonian is solved to give the soliton solution. We obtained the dispersion relation of the lattice fluctuation around the soliton using the effective potential and reproduced the theoretical result given by using the RPA method. There is a good agreement between our result and experimental result about the level position of the localized mode around the soliton.

Due to the anharmonicity of lattice vibration, the phonon frequency and the electron band gap in the actual potential is affected from the mean-field value. We proposed the renormalized Hamiltonian (4.27) and estimated the correction to the soliton formation energy. The magnitude of the correction is estimated by the one-loop renormalization $\delta m = \left\langle \eta^2 \right\rangle / \Delta_0^2$ where η is the lattice fluctuation field and Δ_0 is the observed value of the electron band gap. We found that the actual depth of the double-well potential is wider than the depth expected by the mean-field theory. The correction to the formation energy due to the electron-phonon coupling is given by

$$\Delta E_s = \sum_{\ell} \frac{1}{2}\Omega_\ell \Big|_{\text{soliton}} - \sum_{\ell} \frac{1}{2}\Omega_\ell \Big|_{\text{dimer}} + \left((1-\delta m)^{-0.88} - 1 \right) \frac{\Delta_0}{\pi}. \tag{7.51}$$

On the assumption that there are differences in the electron-phonon coupling constant and the phonon frequency between the two isotopes, we found that the formation energy in $(\mathrm{CH})_x$ is larger than that in $(\mathrm{CD})_x$ by about 0.029eV. In the realistic material, the soliton formation energy would be affected by the soliton trapping by the impurities. We have shown that the isotope difference of the soliton formation energy is widened by the trapping energy. In the experimental result, the difference between the two isotopes is about 0.03eV $\sim$ 0.04eV. The difference from our result is of the same order with the trapping energy. This suggests the relevance of further theoretical and experimental investigation of the soliton dynamics.

acknowledgement

Scientific Research from the Ministry of Education, Science, and Culture. The numerical calculations have been performed on the IBM RISC system 360 of Department of Physics, Faculty of Education, Yokohama National University.

APPENDIX

A. Soliton solution

The Hamiltonian (2.14) has a kink solution (soliton) connecting the two minimum points $\Delta = \pm\Delta_0$. Let us calculate a static soliton using (2.14). The Lagrange equation of (2.14) in the static limit is given by

$$2\left(\frac{\sqrt{1+\gamma^2}}{\gamma}\ln(\gamma+\sqrt{1+\gamma^2})-1\right)\Delta + 2\Delta\ln\frac{|\Delta|}{2\Lambda}+\frac{\Delta}{\lambda}=0, \tag{A.1}$$

where $\gamma = -\mathrm{i}\frac{\xi_0}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$. ξ_0 is given by $\xi_0 = v_F/\Delta_0$. Using (2.13) and introducing the notation $u = \Delta/\Delta_0$, (A.1) is rewritten as follows:

$$\frac{1}{6}\xi_0^2\frac{\mathrm{d}^2u}{\mathrm{d}x^2} = 2u\ln|u|. \tag{A.2}$$

Here we expanded the left hand side of (A.1) up to the second order with respect to γ. The soliton solution is obtained under the condition

$$\begin{aligned} u(x) &= \pm1, \qquad \mathrm{at}(x\to\pm\infty), \\ u(0) &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{A.3}$$

Multiplying $\frac{\mathrm{d}u}{\mathrm{d}x}$ to (A.2), and making an integration over x, (A.2) becomes

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\xi_0\int_0^{x_0}\frac{\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}}{\sqrt{1+u^2(2\ln|u|-1)}}\mathrm{d}x = \int_0^{x_0}\mathrm{d}x \tag{A.4}$$

From (A.4), the soliton is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(x) &= \Delta_0 u = \Delta_0 g^{-1}\left(\sqrt{6}\frac{x}{\xi_0}\right), \\ g(u) &= \int_0^u \frac{\mathrm{d}u}{\sqrt{1+u^2(2\ln|u|-1)}}. \end{aligned} \tag{A.5}$$

The dotted line in Fig. 4 shows $\Delta(x)$ given by (A.5). It is nearly equal to $\Delta_0\tanh(2.2x)/\xi_0$.

(A.5) is narrower than $\tanh x/\xi_0$. However, considering the higher order derivatives in (A.1) and using the variational method, it is shown that the soliton width becomes wider and its form is similar to the form $\tanh(x/\xi_0)$. Since the function (A.5) would be well approximated by $\mathrm{sgn(z)}\Delta_0(1-\exp(-3.88|\mathrm{z}|))(1-0.497\exp(-3.88|\mathrm{z}|))$, where $z = x/\xi_0$, we use the trial function

$$\Delta_s(x) = \mathrm{sgn(z)}\Delta_0(1-\exp(-\mathrm{a}|\mathrm{z}|))(1-0.497\exp(-\mathrm{a}|\mathrm{z}|)), \tag{A.6}$$

where a is a real number. Substituting (A.6) into (2.14) and taking the static limit, the mean value of (2.14) is larger than the dimerized state by

$$\frac{\Delta_0}{\pi a}\left(-\mathrm{Re}\left[1.249\left(\frac{\sqrt{4-a^2}}{a}\sin^{-1}\frac{a}{2}-1\right)+0.1245\left(\frac{\sqrt{1-a^2}}{a}\sin^{-1}a-1\right)\right]+0.9452\right), \tag{A.7}$$

where we have omitted the imaginary part of the mean value. We used the following relation.

$$\int \Delta(x) \frac{\partial^{2n}}{\partial^{2n} z} \Delta(x) \mathrm{d}z = -2a^{2n-1}[0.6305 + 0.12351(2)^{2n-1}]. \tag{A.8}$$

(A.7) has a minimum point at $a = 1.70$. Then, (A.6) is 2.28 times wider than the function (A.5). Solid line in Fig.4 shows (A.6) with $a = 1.70$. Its form is nearly equal to the form of

$$\Delta_s(x) = \Delta_0 \tanh(x/\xi), \tag{A.9}$$

with

$$\xi = 1.04\xi_0. \tag{A.10}$$

Using that $\Delta(x)$ is the solution of (A.1), the soliton formation energy is given by

$$\frac{1}{2\pi v_F} \int \mathrm{d}x ({\Delta_0}^2 - \Delta_s^2(x)). \tag{A.11}$$

Since the soliton form (A.9) has the same form as the solution of the original Hamiltonian (2.1), it is adequate to use $\Delta_s(x) = \Delta_0 \tanh(x/\xi_0)$ for the estimation of (A.11). Therefore, (A.11) becomes Δ_0/π.

The correction due to the renormalization of the unharmonic potential gives the effective Hamiltonian (4.26). When d_R is varied, the soliton width ξ_R, which is obtained by using the trial function (A.6), changes. We plotted ξ_R for various values of ${d_R}^{-1}$ in Fig.7.

B. Lattice fluctuation around a soliton

Differentiating (A.1) with respect to $x/\xi_0 = \kappa x$, we obtain the following equation.

$$\left(\frac{\sqrt{1+\gamma^2}}{\gamma} \ln(\gamma + \sqrt{1+\gamma^2}) - 1 \right) \frac{\mathrm{d}\Delta_s(x)}{\mathrm{d}(\kappa x)} + \left(1 + \ln \frac{|\Delta_s(x)|}{|\Delta_0|} \right) \frac{\mathrm{d}\Delta_s(x)}{\mathrm{d}(\kappa x)} = 0. \tag{B.1}$$

Comparing (B.1) with (2.18), we find that $\frac{\mathrm{d}\Delta(x)}{\mathrm{d}(\kappa x)}$ is an eigen mode with zero energy, *i.e.* the Goldstone mode.

In the calculation of the other eigen mode around the soliton, we use the soliton form obtained in Appendix A. The eigen-mode equation (2.14) is approximated by

$$-\frac{1}{2\lambda\omega_Q^2} \ddot{g}_\ell = -\left(\frac{1}{12} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial(\kappa x)^2} + \frac{1}{120} \frac{\partial^4}{\partial(\kappa x)^4} + \frac{1}{840} \frac{\partial^6}{\partial(\kappa x)^6} \right) g_\ell + \left(1 + \ln \frac{|\Delta(x)|}{\Delta_0} \right) g_\ell. \tag{B.2}$$

where we expanded D_γ until 6th derivatives with respect to κx. Using (A.6) with $a = 1.70$, the eigen mode is determined by the numerical diagonalization. There are two additional modes with localized character. The eigen values of the localized modes are summarized in Table I. They have a good coincidence with the result given by ref.[23].

Figure Captions

Fig. 1: The loop diagrams which contribute to the effective potential of the lattice distortion. These diagrams do not have the momentum transfer between the electron and the lattice.

Fig. 2: The loop diagrams which contribute to the effective potential of the lattice distortion. These diagrams have the momentum transfer q between the electron and the lattice.

Fig. 3: The Δ dependence of the effective potential. The dotted line is the effective potential which is given by the Hamiltonian (2.14). The solid line is the potential (3.20)

Fig. 4: The soliton configuration. The dotted line is the solution of the soliton equation which is approximated by the second order differential equation (A.2). The solid line is the soliton which is given by the variational method. This form is nearly equal to the form $\tanh(x/\xi_R)$.

Fig. 5: The diagrams of the 3 point and 4 point vertices which appear in the Hamiltonian (3.20).

Fig. 6: The one loop renormalization diagrams for the 3 point vertex and 4 point vertex, respectively.

Fig. 7: The ${d_R}^{-1}$ dependence of soliton width. The soliton is obtained by the variational method using the renormalized Hamiltonian (4.27), where the trial function is chosen to be (A.6). Since the function (A.6) has the form nearly equal to $\tanh(0.567ax/\xi_0)$, the soliton width ξ_R is given by $\xi_R = \xi_0/(0.567a)$.

Table I: The frequencies of the localized modes around the soliton. The T modes correspond to the translational mode of soliton and the B modes come from the third localized mode around the soliton.

Table II : We summarized the values of $\omega^2/(2\lambda{\omega_Q}^2)$ for the localized modes of the lattice fluctuations around the soliton. They are obtained by the numerical diagonalization of (2.14) which is approximated by the differential equation (B.2).

Table III : The bare values of the phonon frequencies and the electron-phonon coupling constants. These values were used in the analysis of the Raman scattering experiment of t-polyacetylene by Vardeny et. al.$^{3)}$

References

[1] W.P.Su, J.R.Schrieffer, and A.J.Heeger : Phys. Rev.Lett. **42** (1979) 1698. ; Phys. Rev. B**22** (1980) 2099

[2] H. Takayama, Y. R. Lin-Liu and K. Maki : Phys. Rev. B**21** (1980) 2388.

[3] Z. Vardeny, E. Eherenfreund, O. Brafman and B. Horovitz: Phys. Rev. Lett. 51(1983) 2326.

[4] I. Harada, Y. Furukawa, M. Tasumi, H. Shirakawa, and S. Ikeda : J. Chem. Phys. 73 (1980) 4746.

[5] N. Suzuki, M. Ozaki, S. Etemad, A. J. Heeger, and A. G. MacDiamid : Phys. Rev. Lett. 45 (1980) 1209, 1463.

[6] O. Brafman, Z. Vardeny and E. Ehrenfreund : Solid State Commun. 53 (1985) 615.

[7] C. R. Fincher, Jr, M. Ozaki, A.J.Heeger, and A. G. MacDiarmid : Phys. Rev. B19 (1979) 4140.

S.Etemad; A.Pron, A. J. Heeger, A. G. MacDiamd, E. J. Mele, and M. J. Rice : Phys. Rev. B23 (1981) 5173.

[8] E. J. Méle and M. J. Rice : Phys. Rev. Lett. 45 (1980) 926. ; Solid State Commun. 34 (1980) 339

[9] B. Horovitz : Solid State Commun. 41 (1982) 593.

[10] Z. Vardeny , J. Orenstein, and G. L. Baker : Phys. Rev. Lett. 50 (1983) 1938

[11] Z. Vardeny , E. Ehrenfreund, O. Brafman, B. Horovits, H. Fujimoto, T. Tanaka, and M. Tanaka : Phys. Rev. Lett. 57 (1986) 2995

[12] H.Ito, A.Terai, Y. Ono, and Y.Wada : J. Phys. Soc. Japan **53** (1984) 3520.

[13] H.Ito and Y.Ono : J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **54** (1985) 1194;

[14] E.J.Méle, and J.C.Hicks : Phys. Rev. B**32** (1985) 2703.

[15] A.Terai, Y. Ono, and Y. Wada : J. Phys. Soc. Japan **55** (1986) 2889.

[16] F. B. Schügerl, and Kuzumany : J. Chem. Phys. **74** (1981) 953

[17] H. E. Schaffer, R. H. Friend, and A. J. Heeger : Phys. Rev. B**36** (1987) 7537.

[18] A.Terai, Y. Ono, and Y. Wada : J. Phys. Soc. Japan **58** (1989) 3798.

[19] A.J.Heeger : Private Communication and the PhD thesis of H. Schaffer.

[20] J.M.Luttinger, and J.C.Ward : Phys. Rev. **5** (1960) 1417.

[21] S. Coleman and E. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. D**7**, (1973) 1888.

[22] M.Nakahara and K.Maki:*Phys. Rev.* B**25** (1982) 7789

[23] A.Terai and Y.Ono : J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **55** (1986) 213;

[24] J.C.Hicks, and G.A.Blaisdell : Phys. Rev. D**31** (1985) 919

[25] B.Horovitz : Solid State Commun. **41** (1982) 729.

[26] Y.Wada : J. Phys. Condens. Matter **1** (1989) 5337.

	Theory[1)]		Present Result		Experiment	
	$(CH)_x$	$(CD)_x$	$(CH)_x$	$(CD)_x$	$(CH)_x$	$(CD)_x$
T_1 (ω_{00})	488	410	0.	0.	$\sim$ 500	$\sim$ 400
T_2 (ω_{10})	1278	1045	1270	1014	1280	1045
T_3 (ω_{20})	1364	1216	1344	1207	1365	1224
B_1 (ω_{02})	1049	850	1079	862	1035	835
B_2 (ω_{12})	1292	1193	1293	1197	-	-
B_3 (ω_{22})	1445	1333	1463	1368	1440	1300

	Goldstone mode	Amplitude oscillation mode	Third localized mode
$\omega^2/(2\lambda\omega_Q^2)$	0.00	0.7186	0.9593

	(CH)$_x$		(CD)$_x$	
	λ_α/λ	ω_α (cm^{-1})	λ_α/λ	ω_α (cm^{-1})
$\alpha = 1$	0.07	1234	0.06	921
$\alpha = 2$	0.02	1309	0.005	1207
$\alpha = 3$	0.91	2040	0.93	2040